

# Learning for Prevention of Sudden Cardiac Death

Natalia A. Trayanova 

**S**udden cardiac death (SCD) is a devastating event and a significant health care burden. While coronary artery disease and overall cardiovascular deaths have declined significantly over the last decades,<sup>1</sup> a disproportionately high contribution to mortality results from SCD, with the majority of events due to ventricular arrhythmias (VAs; ventricular tachycardia [VT] or ventricular fibrillation [VF]). The development of new methodologies and the enhancement of existing ones for prediction and prevention of SCD is critical to addressing this health care need. Accurate SCD risk stratification is an area of active investigation, and it has been recognized early on that success will require integration of multidisciplinary efforts from the molecular level to the bedside and conducting studies in the general population.<sup>2</sup>

---

**Article, see p 172**

---

Currently, assessment of left ventricular (LV) ejection fraction is the clinical standard in identifying patients at high risk of SCD and is used to guide primary prevention of SCD<sup>3</sup> by the deployment of an implantable cardioverter-defibrillator. LV ejection fraction, however, does not adequately represent the underlying myocardial structural and electrophysiological remodeling in heart disease predisposing to VAs and SCD and is thus insensitive and nonspecific.<sup>4</sup> Accordingly, considerable effort has been extended in establishing biomarkers that reflect arrhythmia substrates more directly and developing invasive and noninvasive techniques to identify patients at risk for SCD. Invasive electrophysiological testing using programmed cardiac stimulation has been shown to add specificity in identifying patients with ischemic heart disease at risk for VAs; however, the approach

does not confer sufficient sensitivity to deem patients with a negative test at a low risk of SCD. Comorbidities, structural remodeling parameters identified in clinical imaging scans such as contrast-enhanced (ie, late gadolinium enhanced) cardiac magnetic resonance, and alterations in ECG parameters are the three main groups of biomarkers that have been investigated in the effort to better stratify patients for SCD risk. The presence of atrial fibrillation, interim heart failure hospitalization, and worse New York Heart Association (NYHA) class have been associated with higher VT/VF risk. Chronic ventricular dilation and remodeling of cardiac structure and particularly the extend of disease-induced scar and fibrosis predispose to VA.<sup>5</sup> Electrophysiological remodeling, manifested as prolonged QRS interval, and particularly, changes in restitution properties reflected as oscillations in the T wave<sup>6</sup> have been linked to VT/VF occurrence. Personalized computational modeling has also made advances in predicting the risk of VAs,<sup>7</sup> integrating both structural and electrophysiological remodeling in postinfarction patients, and setting the stage for the utilization of new computational and engineering technologies in SCD patient risk stratification.

Among the new technological advancements, machine learning (ML) is making quick strides in entering clinical practice. ML applications have also seen a rapid growth and popularity in arrhythmia research. A number of studies have used ML in SCD risk stratification. Texture analysis of late gadolinium-enhanced cardiac magnetic resonance has identified imaging features predictive of elevated VA risk. In hypertrophic cardiomyopathy, ML achieved diagnostic accuracy ranging from 82.8% to 94.1% in a small cohort of 64 patients.<sup>8</sup> ML has been used to construct patient-specific complexity scores based on the analysis of late gadolinium-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging scans of 122 ischemic

**Key Words:** Editorials ■ action potentials ■ arrhythmias, cardiac ■ death, sudden ■ machine learning

---

The opinions expressed in this article are not necessarily those of the editors or of the American Heart Association.

Correspondence to: Natalia Trayanova, PhD, Johns Hopkins University, 3400 N Charles St, Hackerman 216, Baltimore, MD 21218. Email [ntrayanova@jhu.edu](mailto:ntrayanova@jhu.edu)  
For Sources of Funding and Disclosures, see page 187.

© 2020 American Heart Association, Inc.

*Circulation Research* is available at [www.ahajournals.org/journal/res](http://www.ahajournals.org/journal/res)

cardiomyopathy patients.<sup>9</sup> In a hypertrophic cardiomyopathy cohort, VA risk has been assessed from electronic health records,<sup>10</sup> identifying 10 new variables, as well as 12 known variables, as VA risk predictors. While the above studies offer a static risk score, a few studies have also attempted to predict SCD risk dynamically. A probabilistic neural network was used to predict,<sup>11</sup> from intensive care unit signals (ECGs, noninvasive continuous blood pressure, and arterial oxygen saturation), the occurrence of VF 5 minutes before the event with an accuracy of 82.5%. A recent study<sup>12</sup> used random forest ML model to predict survival outcomes with baseline and time-varying predictors, achieving area under the receiver operating curve of 0.88 on a training set of 382 ischemic cardiomyopathy patients; predictive variables included heart failure hospitalization, LV scar, LV and left atrial volumes, left atrial function, and interleukin-6 levels. These studies demonstrate significant interest and underscore the initial successes in utilizing a number of ML approaches to advance SCD prediction.

The study by Rogers et al<sup>13</sup> in the current issue of this journal presents the latest research using ML approaches to predict the risk of VT/VF in ischemic cardiomyopathy. The study focused on the contribution of cell electrophysiology to VA risk. The authors hypothesized that the morphology of the action potential may predict VA risk and long-term outcome. To record in vivo action potentials in these patients, a monophasic action potential (MAP) catheter was used, shown previously to accurately reflect transmembrane action potentials in patients.<sup>8</sup> Measurements consisted of 5706 ventricular MAPs acquired during right ventricular apex steady-state pacing from 42 patients with LV ejection fraction  $\leq 40\%$ . Each MAP beat was analyzed as a voltage-time series in a time window that encompassed the longest MAP.

The patients were randomly split into a 70% cohort for training and a 30% cohort for testing, and a K-fold cross-validation (K=10) was performed to increase generalizability (ie, the ability to predict outcome on an unseen patient), each iteration providing 1 training set and 1 test set not used in training. The methodology to predict patient outcomes from MAP beats consisted of 2 steps. First, a supervised ML algorithm (support vector machine or a convolutional neural network) was trained to predict the end point of sustained VT/VF (with an additional end point of mortality at 3 years) using as input all MAP beats across patients; the support vector machine model provided a superior performance. Second, the proportion of each patient's beats classified by the ML model to be predictive of the clinical outcome was calculated, thus constructing a MAP score for that patient. The area under the receiver operating curve for the MAP score was 0.90 (95% CI, 0.76–1.00) in predicting VT/VF outcome; the optimal MAP score cut point yielded an accuracy of 85.7%. For the mortality end point, area under the receiver

operating curve was 0.91 (95% CI, 0.83–1.00), with the MAP score optimal cut point having an accuracy of 81.0%. In this patient cohort, neither testing for induction of VT/VF nor the presence of implantable cardioverter-defibrillator within 14 days of testing nor coronary artery disease distributions from angiography predicted VT/VF in the multivariate model; the MAP score was the only predominant predictor of VT/VF. Their results demonstrate that alterations in action potential shape in ischemic cardiomyopathy patients are predictive of clinical outcome.

The authors also compared the average MAP shapes that were predictive of sustained VT/VF versus those that were not. MAP waveforms that predicted VT/VF had higher plateau (ie, phase II of the action potential) voltage and longer phase II duration compared with MAPs that did not. Simulations with a human ventricular action potential model revealed that, not surprisingly, increased  $I_{CaL}$  (calcium current) or enhanced NCX (sodium-calcium exchanger) current (potentially due to increased intracellular Ca resulting from elevated  $I_{CaL}$ ) could lead to elevated voltage and prolonged plateau during phase II. Increased  $I_{CaL}$  is known to promote VAs in heart failure<sup>14</sup> and in patients with certain channelopathies,<sup>15</sup> and the results by Rogers et al indicate that it could potentially also increase VA propensity in ischemic cardiomyopathy. Future studies will need to address the question whether elevated  $I_{CaL}$  is indeed a biomarker of increased vulnerability to VT/VF in ischemic cardiomyopathy. The study by Rogers et al presents a clear example of how ML methods can improve VA risk prediction and even suggest mechanistic interpretation.

The MAPs analyzed in this study were from the right ventricular apex. As the ischemic insult in these patients is typically in the LV, it would be important to understand whether changes in action potential such as those documented by the MAP recordings here are a global or a regional hallmark of elevated propensity to VT/VF. Would similar differences in action potential morphology between patients with different outcomes exist in other regions of the heart? Should these changes be regional, would such heterogeneity confer additional arrhythmogenic propensity, on top of the potential changes in  $I_{CaL}$ ?

Alterations in action potential morphology (and potentially in the underlying Ca cycling) in ischemic cardiomyopathy patients with VT/VF outcome, whether regional or global, represent one important aspect of the arrhythmogenic substrate in this disease. The presence of scar and infarct border zone and their 3-dimensional extents have been strongly associated with VT risk, and the mechanisms by which structural remodeling sets up the stage for reentrant VTs in these patients have been previously explored. Thus, a generalizable VT/VF ML risk model in this population would likely need to use as inputs both electrophysiological

and structural remodeling data, as well as other potential covariates. Of particular value would be if such risk predictors are dynamic, allowing for an update in prediction when new data become available, which requires that the model learns from noninvasive measurements. Furthermore, assessing survival outcome rather than outcome at a single point of time would enhance the clinical value of the prediction. With careful choices and realistic expectations, ML appears well poised to address this health care need.

## ARTICLE INFORMATION

### Affiliation

Department of Biomedical Engineering and Alliance for Cardiovascular Diagnostic and Treatment Innovation, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, MD.

### Sources of Funding

This study was supported by the National Institutes of Health grant R01HL142496 and grants from the Leducq and Lowenstein Foundations.

### Disclosures

None.

## REFERENCES

- Stecker EC, Reinier K, Marijon E, Narayanan K, Teodorescu C, Uy-Evanado A, Gunson K, Jui J, Chugh SS. Public health burden of sudden cardiac death in the United States. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*. 2014;7:212–217. doi: 10.1161/CIRCEP.113.001034
- Fishman GI, Chugh SS, Dimarco JP, Albert CM, Anderson ME, Bonow RO, Buxton AE, Chen PS, Estes M, Jouven X, et al. Sudden cardiac death prediction and prevention: report from a National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute and Heart Rhythm Society Workshop. *Circulation*. 2010;122:2335–2348. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.110.976092
- Russo AM, Stainback RF, Bailey SR, Epstein AE, Heidenreich PA, Jessup M, Kapa S, Kremers MS, Lindsay BD, Stevenson LW. ACCF/HRS/AHA/ASE/HFSA/SCAI/SCCT/SCMR 2013 appropriate use criteria for implantable cardioverter-defibrillators and cardiac resynchronization therapy: a report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation appropriate use criteria task force, Heart Rhythm Society, American Heart Association, American Society of Echocardiography, Heart Failure Society of America, Society for Cardiovascular Angiography and Interventions, Society of Cardiovascular Computed Tomography, and Society for Cardiovascular Magnetic Resonance. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2013;61:1318–1368. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2012.12.017
- Wu KC. Sudden cardiac death substrate imaged by magnetic resonance imaging: from investigational tool to clinical applications. *Circ Cardiovasc Imaging*. 2017;10:e005461.
- Schmidt A, Azevedo CF, Cheng A, Gupta SN, Bluemke DA, Foo TK, Gerstenblith G, Weiss RG, Marbán E, Tomaselli GF, et al. Infarct tissue heterogeneity by magnetic resonance imaging identifies enhanced cardiac arrhythmia susceptibility in patients with left ventricular dysfunction. *Circulation*. 2007;115:2006–2014. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.106.653568
- Bayer JD, Lalani GG, Vigmond EJ, Narayan SM, Trayanova NA. Mechanisms linking electrical alternans and clinical ventricular arrhythmia in human heart failure. *Heart Rhythm*. 2016;13:1922–1931. doi: 10.1016/j.hrthm.2016.05.017
- Arevalo HJ, Vadakkumpadan F, Guallar E, Jebb A, Malamas P, Wu KC, Trayanova NA. Arrhythmia risk stratification of patients after myocardial infarction using personalized heart models. *Nat Commun*. 2016;7:11437. doi: 10.1038/ncomms11437
- Alis D, Guler A, Yergin M, Asmakutlu O. Assessment of ventricular tachyarrhythmia in patients with hypertrophic cardiomyopathy with machine learning-based texture analysis of late gadolinium enhancement cardiac MRI. *Diagn Interv Imaging*. 2020;101:137–146. doi: 10.1016/j.diii.2019.10.005
- Okada DR, Miller J, Chrispin J, Prakosa A, Trayanova N, Jones S, Maggioni M, Wu KC. Substrate spatial complexity analysis for the prediction of ventricular arrhythmias in patients with ischemic cardiomyopathy. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*. 2020;13:e007975. doi: 10.1161/CIRCEP.119.007975
- Bhattacharya M, Lu DY, Kudchadkar SM, Greenland GV, Lingamaneni P, Corona-Villalobos CP, Guan Y, Marine JE, Olgin JE, Zimmerman S, et al. Identifying ventricular arrhythmias and their predictors by applying machine learning methods to electronic health records in patients with hypertrophic cardiomyopathy (HCM-VAR-risk model). *Am J Cardiol*. 2019;123:1681–1689. doi: 10.1016/j.amjcard.2019.02.022
- Tsuji T, Nobukawa T, Mito A, Hirano H, Soh Z, Inokuchi R, Fujita E, Ogura Y, Kaneko S, Nakamura R, et al. Recurrent probabilistic neural network-based short-term prediction for acute hypotension and ventricular fibrillation. *Sci Rep*. 2020;10:11970. doi: 10.1038/s41598-020-68627-6
- Wu KC, Wongvibulsin S, Tao S, Ashikaga H, Stillabower M, Dickfeld TM, Marine JE, Weiss RG, Tomaselli GF, Zeger SL. Baseline and dynamic risk predictors of appropriate implantable cardioverter defibrillator therapy. *J Am Heart Assoc*. 2020;9:e017002. doi: 10.1161/JAHA.120.017002
- Rogers AJ, Selvalingam A, Alhussseini MI, Krummen DE, Corrado C, Abuzaid F, Baykaner T, Meyer C, Clopton P, Giles W, et al. Machine learned cellular phenotypes predict outcome in ischemic cardiomyopathy. *Circ Res*. 2021;128:172–184. doi: 10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.120.317345
- Johnson DM, Antoons G. Arrhythmogenic mechanisms in heart failure: linking  $\beta$ -adrenergic stimulation, stretch, and calcium. *Front Physiol*. 2018;9:1453. doi: 10.3389/fphys.2018.01453
- Skinner JR, Winbo A, Abrams D, Vohra J, Wilde AA. Channelopathies that lead to sudden cardiac death: clinical and genetic aspects. *Heart Lung Circ*. 2019;28:22–30. doi: 10.1016/j.hlc.2018.09.007